



Implementing the New Basel Accord in Developing Countries

2006 KDI Conference
Adopting the New Basel Accord
Seoul 6 July 2006



Outline

- **The Basel II Framework**
- Basel II implementation – the current phase
- Supervisory cooperation – even more required
- Post Basel II - the Committee's focus



Basel II is a reality

- Framework released in June 2004
 - Followed by completion of some outstanding work
- Basic aim is to
 - Improve banks' risk management systems
 - Deliver a prudent amount of capital in relation to risk
 - Maintain a reasonable level playing field for all banks
- Reaching consensus was a huge challenge
- Basel II is meant to be a global framework



Basel II – A Global Framework

- Committee involved non-member countries to a great extent
- Expected to be implemented by many countries
 - It is likely that Basel II will become the new yardstick for assessing banks' financial soundness (as Basel I)
 - Timing of implementation will (and should) vary



Outline

- The Basel II Framework
- **Basel II implementation – the current phase**
- Supervisory cooperation – even more required
- Post Basel II - the Committee's focus



Time schedule for implementation (Basel II text)

- | | |
|--------------|---|
| 26 June 2004 | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Release of Basel II Framework |
| 2004 – 2006 | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• National processes<ul style="list-style-type: none">– Impact studies– Legislation and national rule making |
| End 2006 | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Committee member implementation of simpler approaches |
| End 2007 | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Committee member implementation of advanced approaches |
| 2008 - ? | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Extended transition period for other countries |



When should countries implement Basel II?

- Only national authorities can answer this question
- Timing should be determined by a country's own circumstances
- Basel II may be a lesser priority compared to other efforts (e.g. Core Principles, sound corporate governance)
- Basel II has significant benefits, but poses significant challenges as well



Relationship with Core Principles

- Do (revised) Core Principles require implementation of Basel II?
- Basel II not precondition for compliance with Core Principles
- But high degree of compliance precondition for successful implementation of Basel II
- Basel I banks should also be compliant.



Implementation – some issues to be considered

- Implementation is a major undertaking
- Several issues to deal with, e.g.
 - Transforming the framework into enforceable rules
 - All three pillars need to be implemented
 - Determining scope of application
 - Range of approaches – credit and operational risk
 - National discretion areas – higher requirements?
 - Cross-border implementation
- Assistance provided for supervisors (e.g. through AIG)



Accord Implementation Group

- Mandate: share information, discuss home-host issues, promote consistency in implementation of Basel II
- Guidance provided through (e.g.)
 - High-level principles for the cross-border implementation of Basel II
 - Home-host information sharing for effective Basel II implementation
- Cross-border case studies
- Various other documents
- Discussions at various events



Are there specific issues for developing countries?

- Different implementation plans across jurisdictions
- Step-by-step approach may be appropriate
- Pillars 2 and 3 should not be ignored
- Advanced approaches may be challenging
 - Data requirements
 - Resources and training requirements
 - AIRB not necessarily means lower capital charges
- Supervision of internationally active bank



Outline

- The Basel II Framework
- Basel II implementation – the current phase
- **Supervisory cooperation – even more required**
- Post Basel II - the Committee's focus



Supervisory cooperation

- Not a new issue
 - From its inception, Committee's focus was on supervisory cooperation
 - Several documents released (starting in 1975 with Concordat)
- However, cooperation has become even more important under Basel II
 - Different approaches
 - Validation
 - Systemically important subsidiaries



Home-host information sharing

- Paper released in June 2006
- Developed jointly with CPLG
- Focus on Basel II, but may be beneficial as regards other issues
- Reiterates importance of communication between home and host supervisor while stating that banks have the primary role in implementing Basel II
- Addresses “significant” subsidiaries
 - Significant for the home jurisdiction
 - Significant for the host jurisdiction



Outline

- The Basel II Framework
- Basel II implementation – the current phase
- Supervisory cooperation – even more required
- **Post Basel II - the Committee's focus**



**Basel II is more a journey
than a destination**



What are the main themes for the future?

- ➔ Concerning capital requirements, focus is on implementation**
- ➔ Avoiding regulatory overload**
- ➔ Enhanced coordination with global supervisory community**
- ➔ More focus on other supervisory areas**



Conclusion

- Publication of June 2004 Framework was only the beginning of a new phase: implementation
- Supervisors should have a strategy for implementing Basel II
 - It is their decision whether and how to implement Basel II
- Cross-border implementation requires a high degree of cooperation and coordination between home and host country supervisors
- Beyond principles and collaborative arrangements, at the end of the day what matters is a good relationship and trust