

Comments on Hospital Ownership and Medical
Services: Market Mix, Spillover Effects, and
Nonprofit Objectives by Jill R. Horwitz and
Austin Nichols

Wankyo Chung

Korea Development Institute

February 2010

Comments on the paper are

- Arguably, for-profit and nonprofit hospitals are more alike than different,
- competition in the hospital market may be more important determinants of performance than is ownership. Therefore, this paper addresses an important question with much implication for Korea

Comments on the paper are

- Arguably, for-profit and nonprofit hospitals are more alike than different,
- competition in the hospital market may be more important determinants of performance than is ownership. Therefore, this paper addresses an important question with much implication for Korea

Comments on the paper are

- This paper provides results similar to previous ones, where nonprofits in market with a larger for-profit market share are more likely to offer relatively profitable services and less likely to offer relatively unprofitable services
- but this paper provides more carefully designed and examined results, especially using the distance-weighted market measure and addressing some of the endogeneity concerns regarding hospital location.

Comments on the paper are

- This paper provides results similar to previous ones, where nonprofits in market with a larger for-profit market share are more likely to offer relatively profitable services and less likely to offer relatively unprofitable services
- but this paper provides more carefully designed and examined results, especially using the distance-weighted market measure and addressing some of the endogeneity concerns regarding hospital location.

Comments on the paper are

- The results may be due to some uncontrolled market conditions with high demand on profitable services. For-profit hospital may have selected into markets with unmet demand for profitable services.
- The paper controlled for endogenous selection by hospitals by using the first year (typically 1988) market share, but selection may have happened before the year. Thus, it will be useful to provide more information on the supply of hospitals over time.
- The paper used an MSA fixed effect model, but none of the fixed effects results are significant, if anything, even though it is too a strong test because the within-MSA cross-time variation in market shares is small

Comments on the paper are

- The results may be due to some uncontrolled market conditions with high demand on profitable services. For-profit hospital may have selected into markets with unmet demand for profitable services.
- The paper controlled for endogenous selection by hospitals by using the first year (typically 1988) market share, but selection may have happened before the year. Thus, it will be useful to provide more information on the supply of hospitals over time.
- The paper used an MSA fixed effect model, but none of the fixed effects results are significant, if anything, even though it is too a strong test because the within-MSA cross-time variation in market shares is small

Comments on the paper are

- The results may be due to some uncontrolled market conditions with high demand on profitable services. For-profit hospital may have selected into markets with unmet demand for profitable services.
- The paper controlled for endogenous selection by hospitals by using the first year (typically 1988) market share, but selection may have happened before the year. Thus, it will be useful to provide more information on the supply of hospitals over time.
- The paper used an MSA fixed effect model, but none of the fixed effects results are significant, if anything, even though it is too a strong test because the within-MSA cross-time variation in market shares is small

Comments on the paper are

- It is well known that for-profits locate in relatively concentrated markets and those with relatively advantaged and well-insured populations.
- The profitable services defined by the paper can be those services with high demand from those who are relatively advantaged and well-insured populations.
- Furthermore, demographic changes are interpolated from only two census years.

Comments on the paper are

- It is well known that for-profits locate in relatively concentrated markets and those with relatively advantaged and well-insured populations.
- The profitable services defined by the paper can be those services with high demand from those who are relatively advantaged and well-insured populations.
- Furthermore, demographic changes are interpolated from only two census years.

Comments on the paper are

- It is well known that for-profits locate in relatively concentrated markets and those with relatively advantaged and well-insured populations.
- The profitable services defined by the paper can be those services with high demand from those who are relatively advantaged and well-insured populations.
- Furthermore, demographic changes are interpolated from only two census years.

Comments on the paper are

- The results of this paper should be reconciled with other results that have identified efficiency spillover gains from for-profit hospitals.
- Finally, though not the focus of this paper, in addition to the spillover effect, hospital competition effect may be more important

Comments on the paper are

- The results of this paper should be reconciled with other results that have identified efficiency spillover gains from for-profit hospitals.
- Finally, though not the focus of this paper, in addition to the spillover effect, hospital competition effect may be more important